Case e44 0099-cox-002241144-SSB2A Documentt45 Filed 0022/1272/1122 PPagged 10 642

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	J. MARK OGDEN, Bar No. 017018 CONSTANCE E. NORTON, Bar No. 14636 ALEXIS A. SOHRAKOFF, Bar. No. 273416 LITTLER MENDELSON A Professional Corporation 650 California Street 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108.2693 Telephone: 415.433.1940 Facsimile: 415.399.8490 Attorneys for Defendant GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY	55
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	OAKLAND DIVISION	
12	ROB DELSMAN,	Case No. CV-09-02414 SBA
13	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED ORDER DISMISSING
14	v.	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH PREJUDICE PURSUANT RULE 41(B).
15	GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,	Date: March 13, 2012
16	Defendants.	Time: 1:00 p.m. Ctrm.: 1, 4th Floor Judge: Hon. Saundra Brown Armstrong
17		COMPLAINT FILED: June 1, 2009
18		TRIAL DATE: July 9, 2012
19		
20		
21		
22	•	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 ELSON		
ELOUN II		

LITTLER MENDELSON
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
650 California Street
20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108.2693
415.433,1940

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION

Casec#1009-cw-00241144-\$BBA | Documentt45 | Filed002/1272/1122 | Pragge 2:05#2

1 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant General Electric Company's 2 ("Defendant") motion to dismiss with prejudice the claims of Plaintiff Robert Delsman ("Plaintiff"). 3 On October 28, 2011, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for Failure to 4 Prosecute, or, Alternatively, to Stay Litigation. (Dkt. 39). Plaintiff's response was due by 5 November 11, 2011. Plaintiff failed to respond to the motion by this deadline. This Court sua 6 sponte afforded Plaintiff an additional opportunity to oppose Defendant's motion to dismiss, and 7 ordered that Plaintiff should file his response no later than February 16, 2012. (Dkt. 40). This Court 8 9 warned that "the failure to file an opposition by the deadline set by the court will be deemed grounds 10 for dismissing the action under Rule 41(b), without further notice." (Dkt. 40). On February 16, 11 2012, Plaintiff responded that he and the Practice Administrator, David Springfield, "do not oppose 12 the motion to dismiss; agree and request that this Court does dismiss this action at this time." (Dkt. 13 43). Plaintiff gave his informed consent to dismiss this civil action. Id. 14 Having considered the foregoing, the Court hereby dismisses this case WITH PREJUDICE 15 pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 16 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 19 CALINIDA R ARMSTE DATED: 2/22/12 20 21 Firmwide:109416883.1 059100.1005 22 23 24 25 26

LITTLER MENDELSON
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
650 California Street
20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108.2693

27

28